arXiv:math/0701476v2 [math.DG] 18 May 2007

MODULAR CLASSES OF POISSON-NIJENHUIS LIE ALGEBROIDS

RAQUEL CASEIRO

Abstract. The modular vector field of a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid A is defined and we prove that, in case of non-degeneracy, this vector field defines a hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian A-vector fields. This hierarchy covers an integrable hierarchy on the base manifold, which may not have a Poisson-Nijenhuis structure.

1. Introduction

The relative modular class of a Lie algebroid morphism was first discussed by Grabowski, Marmo and Michor in [10]. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and Weinstein in [16] showed that this relative class could be seen as a generalization of the notion of modular class introduced by Weinstein in [18]. In [7], Damianou and Fernandes introduced the modular vector field of a Poisson-Nijehuis manifold and showed that it is intimately related with integrable hierarchies (see, also, the alternative approach offered by Kosmann-Schwarzbach and Magri in [14]). In this paper, we generalize this construction and consider the modular vector field of a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid.

Recall (see, e.g, [15]) that a Nijenhuis operator $N : A \to A$ on a Lie algebroid $(A, [\ ,\ ], \rho)$ allows us to define a deformed Lie algebroid structure $A_N = (A, [\ ,\ ]_N, \rho \circ N)$ such that $N : A_N \to A$ is a Lie algebroid morphism. Our first result states that the modular class of this morphism has a canonical representative:

Proposition 1. *The relative modular class* $N : A_N \to A$ *is represented by* $\mathrm{d}_A \mathrm{Tr}\, N$.

Let us assume now that A is equipped with a Poisson structure π compatible with N. Then we can define two Lie algebroid structures on A^*, namely $(A^*, [\ ,\ ]_\pi, \rho\circ\pi^\sharp)$ obtained by dualization from π, and $A^*_{N^*} = (A^*, [\ ,\ ]_{N\pi}, \rho \circ N\pi^\sharp)$ obtained from the first one by deformation along N^*. Again, $N^* : A^*_{N^*} \to A^*$ is a Lie algebroid morphism and, by the proposition above, its relative modular class has the canonical representative $X_{(N,\pi)} := \mathrm{d}_\pi(\mathrm{Tr}\, N)$, which we will call the *modular vector field* of the Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid (A, π, N). When $A = TM$ we recover the construction of Damianou and Fernandes [7] up to a factor of 1/2 (for the same reason that the modular class associated with a Poisson manifold differs from the modular class of its cotangent Lie algebroid by a factor of 1/2).

The modular vector field $X_{(N,\pi)}$ of the Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid (A, π, N) is always a $\mathrm{d}_{N\pi}$-cocycle. If N is non-degenerated it is also a $\mathrm{d}_{N\pi}$-coboundary. In

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B62, 17B66, 37J35, 53D17.

Key words and phrases. Poisson-Nijenhuis structures, Lie algebroids, Modular vector fields, Integrable hierarchies.

this case we have the following generalization of a result of Damianou and Fernandes for a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold:

Theorem 2. *Let* (A,π,N) *be a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid with* N *a non-degenerated Nijenhuis operator. Then there exists a hierarchy of* A*-vector fields*

$$X^{i+j}_{(N,\pi)} = N^{i+j-1}X_{(N,\pi)} = \mathrm{d}_{N^i\pi}h_j = \mathrm{d}_{N^j\pi}h_i, \quad (i,j\in\mathbb{Z})$$

where

$$h_0 = \ln(\det N) \text{ and } h_i = \frac{1}{i}\operatorname{Tr} N^i, \quad (i\neq 0).$$

The hierarchy of flows on A, given by this theorem, covers a hierarchy of (ordinary) multi-Hamiltonian flows on the base manifold M. Although the hierarchy on A is generated by a Nijenhuis operator, it may happen that the base hierarchy is not generated by one. We will see that this is precisely the case for the A_n-Toda lattice. This gives a new explanation for the existence of a hierarchy of Poisson structures and flows associated with a bi-Hamiltonian system which may not have a Nijenhuis operator.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the necessary background on Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroids. In Section 3, we introduce the modular vector field of a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid, state its basic properties, and prove Theorem 2. Section 4 is concerned with integrable hierarchies and discusses the example of the A_n-Toda lattice.

2. Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie Algebroids

In this section we will recall some basic facts about Nijenhuis operators and Poisson structures on Lie algebroids which we will need later. A general reference for Lie algebroids is the book by Cannas da Silva and Weinstein [3]. Nijenhuis operators are discussed in detail in the article by Kosmann-Schwarzbach and Magri [15], while PN-structures on Lie algebroids are discussed by Kosmann-Schwarzbach in [13] and by Grabowski and Urbanski in [11].

2.1. Cartan calculus on Lie algebroids.

Let us recall that a Lie algebroid is a kind of generalized tangent bundle, which carries a generalized Cartan calculus.

First, for any Lie algebroid $(A,[\,,\,],\rho)$ we have a complex of *A-differential forms* $\Omega^k(A) := \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*)$ with the differential given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}_A\omega(X_0,\dots,X_k) :=& \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i\rho(X_i)\cdot\omega(X_0,\dots,\hat{X}_i,\dots,X_k)\\ &+\sum_{0\le i\le j\le k}(-1)^{i+j}\omega\Big([X_i,X_j]_A,X_0,\dots,\hat{X}_i,\dots,X_k\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where $X_0,\dots,X_k\in\Gamma(A)$. The corresponding *Lie algebroid cohomology* is denoted by $H^\bullet(A)$.

Dually, the space of *A-multivector fields* $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(A) = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{X}^k(A) := \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma(\wedge^k A)$ carries a super-Lie bracket $[\ ,\ ]_A$, extending the Lie bracket on $\Gamma(A)$, and satisfying the following super-commutation, super-derivation and super-Jacobi identities:

$$[P,Q]=-(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)}[P,Q]$$

$$[P,Q\wedge R]=[P,Q]\wedge R+(-1)^{(p-1)q}Q\wedge[P,R]$$

$$(-1)^{(p-1)(r-1)}[P,[Q,R]]+(-1)^{(q-1)(p-1)}[Q,[R,P]]+(-1)^{(r-1)(q-1)}[R,[P,Q]]=0$$

where $P\in\mathfrak{X}^p(A)$, $Q\in\mathfrak{X}^q(A)$ and $R\in\mathfrak{X}^r(A)$. The triple $(\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(A),[\ ,\ ]_A,\wedge)$ is a *Gerstenhaber algebra.*

If $X\in\Gamma(A)$ and $\omega\in\Omega^k(A)$ the *Lie derivative* of ω along X is the A-differential form $\mathcal{L}_X\omega\in\Omega^k(A)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_X\omega:=\mathrm{d}_Ai_X\omega+i_X\mathrm{d}_A\omega,$$

where $i_X:\Omega^k(A)\to\Omega^{k-1}(A)$ is defined by

$$i_X\eta(X_1,\dots X_{k-1}):=\eta(X,X_1,\dots,X_k),\quad X_1,\dots,X_{k-1}\in\Gamma(A),$$

for $k>1$. If $k=1$, then $i_X\eta:=\eta(X)$ and, for $k\le 0$ we say that $i_X\eta=0$.

A morphism $\phi:A\to B$ (over the identity) of Lie algebroids over M induces by transposition a chain map of the complexes of differential forms:

$$\phi^*:(\Omega^k(B),d_B)\to(\Omega^k(A),\mathrm{d}_A).$$

Hence, we also have a well defined map at the level of cohomology, which we will denote by the same letter $\phi^*:H^\bullet(B)\to H^\bullet(A)$.

2.2. **Nijenhuis operators.** Let $(A,[\,,\,],\rho)$ be a Lie algebroid over a manifold M. Recall that a *Nijenhuis operator* is a bundle map $N:A\to A$ (over the identity) such that the induced map on the sections (denoted by the same symbol N) has vanishing torsion:

$$T_N(X,Y):=N[X,Y]_N-[NX,NY]=0,\quad X,Y\in\Gamma(A),\tag{1}$$

where $[\,,\,]_N$ is defined by

$$[X,Y]_N:=[NX,Y]+[X,NY]-N[X,Y],\quad X,Y\in\Gamma(A).$$

Let us set $\rho_N:=\rho\circ N$. For a Nijenhuis operator N, one checks easily that the triple $A_N=(A,[\,,\,]_N,\rho_N)$ is a new Lie algebroid, and then $N:A_N\to A$ is a Lie algebroid morphism.

Since N is a Lie algebroid morphism, its transpose gives a chain map of the complexes of differential forms $N^*:(\Omega^k(A),d_A)\to(\Omega^k(A_N),\mathrm{d}_{A_N})$. Hence we also have a map at the level of algebroid cohomology $N^*:H^\bullet(A)\to H^\bullet(A_N)$.

2.3. **Poisson structures on Lie algebroids.** Let $\pi\in\mathfrak{X}^2(A)$ be a bivector on the Lie algebroid $(A,[\,,\,],\rho)$ and denote by $\pi^\sharp$ the usual bundle map

$$\begin{array}{llll}\pi^\sharp: & A^* & \longrightarrow & A\\ & \alpha & \longmapsto & \pi^\sharp(\alpha)=i_\alpha\pi.\end{array}$$

We say that π defines a *Poisson structure on* A if $[\pi,\pi]_A=0$. In this case, the bracket on the sections of A^* defined by

$$[\alpha,\beta]_\pi=\mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp\alpha}\beta-\mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp\beta}\alpha-\mathrm{d}_A\left(\pi(\alpha,\beta)\right),\quad\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma(A^*),$$

is a Lie bracket and $(A^*,[\,,\,]_{A^*},\rho\circ\pi^\sharp)$ is a Lie algebroid. The differential of this Lie algebroid is given by $\mathrm{d}_\pi X=[\pi,X]_A$, $X\in\Omega(A^*)$, and the pair (A,A^*) is a special kind of Lie bialgebroid, called a *triangular Lie bialgebroid.*

2.4. **Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroids.** The basic notion to be used in this paper is the following:

Definition 3. *A* ***Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid*** *(in short, a PN-algebroid) is a Lie algebroid* $(A, [\,,\,]_A, \rho)$ *equipped with a Poisson structure* π *and a Nijenhuis operator* N *which are* compatible.

The compatibility condition between N and π means that:

$$[\,,\,]_{N\pi} = [\,,\,]_{N^*}\,,$$

where $[\,,\,]_{N\pi}$ is the Lie bracket defined by the bivector field $N\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^2(A)$, and $[\,,\,]_{N^*}$ is the Lie bracket obtained from the Lie bracket $[\,,\,]_\pi$ by deformation along the Nijenhuis tensor N^*.

As a consequence, $N\pi$ defines a new Poisson structure on A, compatible with π:

$$[\pi, N\pi]_A = [N\pi, N\pi]_A = 0,$$

and one has a commutative diagram of morphisms of Lie algebroids:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(A^*, [\cdot,\cdot]_{N\pi}) \arrow[r, "N^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi^\sharp"'] \arrow[dr, "N\pi^\sharp"] & (A^*, [\cdot,\cdot]_\pi) \arrow[d, "\pi^\sharp"] \\
(A, [\cdot,\cdot]_N) \arrow[r, "N"] & (A, [\cdot,\cdot]_A)
\end{tikzcd}$$

In fact, we have a whole hierarchy $N^k\pi$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) of pairwise compatible Poisson structures on A.

3. Modular class of a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid

In this section we will state and prove our main results.

3.1. **Modular class of a Lie algebroid.** Let $(A, [\,,\,], \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid over the manifold M. For simplicity we will assume that both M and A are orientable, so that there exist non-vanishing sections $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{top}}(A)$ and $\mu \in \Omega^{\mathrm{top}}(M)$.

The *modular form* of the Lie algebroid A with respect to $\eta \otimes \mu$ is the A-form $\xi_A \in \Omega^1(A)$, defined by

$$\langle \xi_A, X\rangle \eta \otimes \mu = \mathcal{L}_X \eta \otimes \mu + \eta \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\rho(X)}\mu, \quad X \in \Gamma(A). \tag{2}$$

This is a 1-cocycle of the Lie algebroid cohomology of A. If one makes a different choice of sections η' and μ', then $\eta' \otimes \mu' = f\eta \otimes \mu$, for some non-vanishing smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M)$. One checks easily that the modular form ξ'_A associated with this new choice is given by:

$$\xi'_A = \xi_A - \mathrm{d}_A \log |f|, \tag{3}$$

so that the cohomology class $[\xi_A] \in H^1(A)$ is independent of the choice of η and μ. This cohomology class is called the *modular class* of A and we will denoted it by $\operatorname{mod} A := [\xi_A]$.

Proposition 4. *Let N be a Nijenhuis operator on a Lie algebroid A and fix non-vanishing sections η and μ as above. The modular form ξ_{A_N} of the Lie algebroid A_N and the modular form ξ_A of A are related by:*

$$\xi_{A_N} = \mathrm{d}_A(\mathrm{Tr}\, N) + N^*\xi_A. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Around any point, we can always choose a local base $\{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$ of sections of A and local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of M such that $\eta = e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge e_r$ and $\mu = dx_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_n$. In these coordinates, we have the following expressions for the anchor ρ and the Nijenhuis operator N:

$$\rho(e_i) = \sum_{u=1}^{n} p_i^u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_u} \text{ and } N(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} N_i^j e_j, \quad (i = 1, \ldots, r).$$

Now, for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, we compute:

$$\mathcal{L}_{e_i}\eta = \mathcal{L}_{e_i}(e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge e_r) = \sum_{k,j=1}^{r} \left[N_i^k C_{kj}^j - \sum_{u=1}^{n} \left(\rho_j^u \frac{\partial N_i^j}{\partial x_u} + \rho_i^u \frac{\partial N_j^j}{\partial x_u} \right) \right] \eta$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho_N(e_i)}\mu = \mathcal{L}_{\rho \circ N(e_i)}(dx_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{r}\sum_{u=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial N_i^k}{\partial x_u}\rho_k^u + \frac{\partial \rho_k^u}{\partial x_u} N_i^k \right) \mu.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_A^N(e_i) &= \sum_j \left(\sum_k N_i^j C_{jk}^k + \sum_u \left(\frac{\partial \rho_j^u}{\partial x_u} N_i^j + \frac{\partial N_i^j}{\partial x_u} \rho_i^u \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_j \left(\sum_k N_i^j C_{jk}^k + \sum_u \frac{\partial \rho_j^u}{\partial x_u} N_i^j \right) + \mathrm{d}_A \mathrm{Tr}\, N(e_i) \\ &= (N^*\xi_A + \mathrm{d}_A \mathrm{Tr}\, N)(e_i). \end{aligned}$$

By linearity this holds for any section of A, so the result follows. □

The theorem shows that the A_N-form $\xi_{A_N} - N^*\xi_A = \mathrm{d}_A \mathrm{Tr}\, N$ is independent of the choice of section of $\eta \otimes \mu \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{top}}(A) \otimes \Omega^{\mathrm{top}}(M)$.

Remark 5. *This can also be checked directly using relation (3): If ξ_A and ξ_{A_N} are the modular forms associated to the choice $\eta \otimes \mu$, ξ'_A and ξ'_{A_N} are the modular forms associated with another choice $f\eta \otimes \mu$, then:*

$$\xi'_A = \xi_A - \mathrm{d}_A \ln|f|,$$
$$\xi'_{A_N} = \xi_{A_N} - \mathrm{d}_{A_N} \ln|f|.$$

For any function $g \in C^\infty(M)$, we have $\mathrm{d}_{A_N} g = N^* d_A g$, so it follows from these relations that:

$$\xi'_{A_N} - N^*\xi'_A = \xi_{A_N} - N^*\xi_A.$$

Recall (see [10, 16]) that for any Lie algebroid morphism over the identity $\phi : (A, [\,,\,], \rho_A) \to (B, [\,,\,]_B, \rho_B)$ one defines its *relative modular class* to be the cohomology class $\mathrm{mod}^\phi(A, B) \in H^1(A)$ given by:

$$\mathrm{mod}^\phi(A, B) := \mathrm{mod}\, A - \phi^* \mathrm{mod}\, B. \tag{5}$$

Therefore we have the following immediate corollary of Proposition 4:

Corollary 6. *The relative modular class of the algebroid morphism* $N : A_N \to A$ *is a* A_N*-cohomology class with canonical representative the* A_N*-form* $\mathrm{d}_A \mathrm{Tr}\, N$.

Note that the class $[\mathrm{d}_A \mathrm{Tr}\, N] \in H^1(A_N)$ maybe non-trivial: in general, the differentials d_A and d_{A_N} will be distinct.

3.2. **Modular class of a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid.** Now we consider a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid (A, π, N). Then N^* is a Nijenhuis operator of the dual Lie algebroid $(A^*, [\ ,\ ]_\pi, \rho \circ \pi^\sharp)$ and, by Corollary 6, its relative modular class has the canonical representative $\mathrm{d}_\pi(\mathrm{Tr}\, N^*)$, so that:

$$\mathrm{mod}^{N^*}(A^*_{N^*}, A^*) = [\mathrm{d}_\pi(\mathrm{Tr}\, N^*)] = [\mathrm{d}_\pi(\mathrm{Tr}\, N)].$$

Definition 7. *The* ***modular vector field*** *of the Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid* (A, π, N) *is defined by*

$$X_{(N,\pi)} = \xi_{A^*_{N^*}} - N\xi_{A^*} = \mathrm{d}_\pi(\mathrm{Tr}\, N) \in \mathfrak{X}(A).$$

Notice that the modular vector field of a PN-algebroid is a $\mathrm{d}_{N\pi}$-cocycle and we have a generalization to PN-algebroids of the results obtained in [7, 14] for a Poisson manifold.

Proposition 8. *Let* (A, π, N) *be a PN-algebroid. Then*

$$N^k X_{(N,\pi)} = \frac{1}{k-i+1} X_{(N^{k-i+1},\, N^i\pi)}, \quad i < k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. The operator N is Nijenhuis so it satisfies the identity

$$kN^{*\,k}\mathrm{d}_A \mathrm{Tr}\, N = \mathrm{d}_A \mathrm{Tr}\, N^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{6}$$

Now simply observe that

$$\begin{aligned} N^k X_{(N,\pi)} &= N^k \mathrm{d}_\pi(\mathrm{Tr}\, N) = N^k\, [\pi, \mathrm{Tr}\, N] \\ &= -N^i\, \pi^\sharp(N^{*\,k-i}\, \mathrm{d}_A \mathrm{Tr}\, N) = -\frac{1}{k-i+1}\, (N^i\pi)^\sharp \left(\mathrm{d}_A \mathrm{Tr}\, N^{k-i+1}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k-i+1}\, \mathrm{d}_{N^i\pi} \left(\mathrm{Tr}\, N^{k-i+1}\right) = \frac{1}{k-i+1} X_{(N^{k-i+1},\, N^i\pi)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

As an immediately consequence we have:

Corollary 9. *The modular vector field determines a hierarchy of vector fields*

$$X^k_{(N,\pi)} = N^{k-1} X_{(N,\pi)} = \mathrm{d}_{N^{k-i}\pi} h_i = \mathrm{d}_{N^{i-1}\pi} h_{k-i+1}, \quad (i \leq k \in \mathbb{N}, k > 1), \tag{7}$$

where $h_i = \frac{1}{i} \mathrm{Tr}\, N^i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 10. *The basic formula (6) is well-known to people working in integrable systems (see for instance* [17]*). Also, special versions of Proposition 8 and its corollary can be found in* [7, 14]*, which were sources of inspiration for this work.*

If N is non-degenerated then the modular vector field also defines a negative hierarchy.

Theorem 11. *Let* (A, π, N) *be a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid with* N *a non-degenerated Nijenhuis operator. Then the modular vector field* $X_{(N,\pi)}$ *is a* $\mathrm{d}_{N\pi}$*-coboundary and determines a hierarchy of vector fields*

$$X^{i+j}_{(N,\pi)} = N^{i+j-1}X_{(N,\pi)} = \mathrm{d}_{N^i\pi}h_j = \mathrm{d}_{N^j\pi}h_i, \quad (i,j \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{8}$$

where

$$h_0 = \ln(\det N) \text{ and } h_i = \frac{1}{i}\mathrm{Tr}\, N^i, \quad (i \neq 0). \tag{9}$$

Proof. For any integer k, N^k is a non-degenerated Nijenhuis operator and satisfies the identity

$$kN^{*\,k}\mathrm{d}_A(\ln\det N) = \mathrm{d}_A(\mathrm{Tr}\, N^k). \tag{10}$$

It follows that

$$X_{(N,\pi)} = -\pi^\sharp\,(\mathrm{d}_A\mathrm{Tr}\, N) = -\pi^\sharp\, N^*\mathrm{d}_A\,(\ln\det N) = \mathrm{d}_{N\pi}(\ln\det N).$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} N^{-1}X_{(N,\pi)} &= X_{(N,N^{-1}\pi)} = -N^{-1}\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}_A\mathrm{Tr}\, N) = -\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}_A \ln\det N) \\ &= -\pi^\sharp\left(N^*\mathrm{d}_A\mathrm{Tr}\, N^{-1}\right) = \mathrm{d}_{N\pi}\mathrm{Tr}\, N^{-1} = X_{(N^{-1},N\pi)}. \end{aligned}$$

The expressions for the hierarchy now follow from identity (10) and Proposition 8 applied to N and to N^{-1}. □

Remark 12. *In case of degeneracy of* N *one can always consider a non-degenerated operator of the form* $N + \lambda I$, λ *constant. Although this Nijenhuis operator does not define exactly the same hierarchy as* N*, the traces of its powers generate the same algebra as the functions* h_i*. I thank an anonymous referee for this remark.*

4. Integrable hierarchies and PN-Algebroids

Let $(A, [\,,\,], \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid over a manifold M and consider the fiberwise linear Poisson structure $\{\ ,\ \}_A$ on the dual bundle A^*. A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ defines, by evaluation, the function $f_X : A^* \to \mathbb{R}$, which is linear on the fibers:

$$f_X(\alpha(x)) = \langle \alpha(x), X(x)\rangle, \quad \alpha \in \Gamma(A^*).$$

We denote by X_{f_X} its the Hamiltonian vector field. It is easy to check (see [6, 11, 12]) that:

(a) The assignment $X \mapsto f_X$ defines a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$(\mathfrak{X}(A), [\ ,\ ]) \to (C^\infty(A^*), \{\ ,\ \}_A);$$

(b) Denoting by $q : A^* \to M$ the projection, X_{f_X} is q-related to $\rho(X)$:

$$q_* X_{f_X} = \rho(X).$$

So the vector field X_{f_X} is the lift of the vector field $\rho(X)$ to A^* (associated with the derivation on A^*, $D^*_X\alpha = \mathcal{L}_X\alpha$).

One can also define X^A, the lift of $\rho(X)$ to A (associated with the derivation on A, $D_X = [X, -]$) by

$$\begin{aligned} X^A(f_\alpha) &= f_{\mathcal{L}_X\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in \Gamma(A^*) \\ X^A(g \circ p) &= X(g) \circ p, \quad g \in C^\infty(M), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_\alpha : A \to \mathbb{R}$ is linear function defined by evaluation by $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*)$ and $p : A \to M$ is the projection of the vector bundle.

4.1. **Integrable Hierarchies on Lie algebroids.** Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^2(A)$ be a Poisson structure on a Lie algebroid A over a manifold M. This bivector field determines a bundle map $\pi^\sharp : A^* \to A$, and for the Lie algebroid structure on A^*, we have that $\pi^\sharp$ is a Lie algebroid morphism.

Definition 13. *Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Its* ***Hamiltonian vector field*** $X_f \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ *is the vector field:*

$$X_f := \pi^\sharp \mathrm{d}_A f.$$

The Poisson structure π on A covers a (ordinary) Poisson structure π_M on the base manifold M which is defined by $\pi_M^\sharp = \rho \circ \pi^\sharp \circ \rho^*$, i.e.

$$\{f, g\}_{\pi_M} = \langle \mathrm{d}_A f, \mathrm{d}_\pi g \rangle = \pi(\mathrm{d}_A f, \mathrm{d}_A g), \quad f, g \in C^\infty(M).$$

Moreover, for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$ the Hamiltonian vector field X_f on A covers the (ordinary) Hamiltonian vector field on M associated with f:

$$\rho(X_f) = \rho \circ \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}_A f) = \rho \circ \pi^\sharp \circ \rho^*(\mathrm{d}f) = \pi_M^\sharp(\mathrm{d}f).$$

Considering $\{\ ,\ \}_{A^*}$, the linear Poisson bracket on A defined by the Lie algebroid $(A^*, [\ ,\ ]_\pi, \rho \circ \pi^\sharp)$, notice that the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{f_{\mathrm{d}_A f}}$ is the lift of $\rho(X_f)$ to A, associated with the derivation $D_{X_f} = [X_f,\ ]$ and X_{f_X} is the lift of the vector field $\rho(X)$ to A^*, associated with the derivation $D_{\mathrm{d}_A f} = D^*_{X_f}$.

Definition 14. *Given π a Poisson structure on A, we say that two functions $g, f \in C^\infty(A)$ π-**commute** if $\{f, g\}_{A^*} = 0$. A first integral of a vector field X is a first integral of X^A, the lift of $\rho(X)$ to A, and we say that X is **integrable** if X^A Liouville integrable.*

Two first integrals of the vector field $\rho(X)$ may not π_M-commute but their pull-backs always π-commute, because basic functions always commute with respect to the linear Poisson bracket defined on the dual of a Lie algebroid. In particular, we have:

Proposition 15. *Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$. The first integrals of the Hamiltonian vector field*

$$X_f = \pi^\sharp \mathrm{d}_A f,$$

are the functions which π-commute with $f_{\mathrm{d}_A f}$. In particular, evaluations of sections of A^* which commute with $\mathrm{d}_A f$ and pull-backs of functions which π_M-commute with f are first integrals of X_f.

Let N be a Nijenhuis operator on A compatible with π. The sequence of Poisson structures $\pi_k = N^k \pi$ covers a sequence of Poisson structure on M:

$${\pi_M^k}^\sharp = \rho \circ N^k \pi \circ \rho^*,$$

but, as an example below shows, these Poisson tensors may not be related by a Nijenhuis operator on M. We also have a sequence of linear Poisson brackets on A:

$$\{\ ,\ \}^k_{A^*}$$

and, given a section α on A^*, a sequence of Hamiltonian vector fields

$$X^k_{f_\alpha} = \{f_\alpha, -\}^k_{A^*}.$$

A bi-Hamiltonian vector field

$$X = \pi_0^\sharp(\mathrm{d}_A h_1) = \pi_1^\sharp(\mathrm{d}_A h_0)$$

defines a hierarchy of multi-Hamiltonian vector fields on A:

$$X_{k+i} = \pi_k^\sharp(\mathrm{d}_A h_i) = \pi_i^\sharp(\mathrm{d}_A h_k).$$

This hierarchy, on one hand, covers a hierarchy of Hamiltonian vector fields on M

$$\rho(X_{i+k}) = {\pi_M^k}^\sharp \mathrm{d}h_i = {\pi_M^i}^\sharp \mathrm{d}h_k$$

and, on the other hand, is associated with the hierarchy of lifts

$$\rho(X_{i+k})^A = X^0_{f_{\mathrm{d}_A h_{i+k}}} = X^i_{f_{\mathrm{d}_A h_k}} = X^k_{f_{\mathrm{d}_A h_i}}.$$

4.2. **Covering Integrable Hierarchies.** We can try to apply our main result (Theorem 11) to obtain an integrable hierarchy on a Lie algebroid. However, one observes that in Theorem 11 the Hamiltonian functions, which are first integrals of the vector fields in the hierarchy, are all basic functions, i.e., are pull-backs of functions on the base. Hence, in general, they will not provide a complete set of first integrals. However, there is yet another connection with (classical) integrable systems, due to the following theorem:

Theorem 16. *Let (A, π, N) be a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid with N a non-degenerated Nijenhuis operator. Then the the modular vector field $X_{(N,\pi)}$ covers a bi-Hamiltonian vector field on M, and the associated hierarchy (8) of A-vector fields covers a (classical) hierarchy of vector fields on M. This hierarchy is given by:*

$$X_{i+j} = -\pi_i^\sharp \mathrm{d}h_j = -\pi_j^\sharp \mathrm{d}h_i \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{11}$$

where π_j are Poisson structures on M and h_i are the functions given by (9).

Although we have a hierarchy of modular vector fields $X_{(N^k,\pi)}$ generated by the Nijenhuis operator N, generally the covered hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian vector fields on M is not generated by any Nijenhuis operator. This is illustrated by the next example.

4.3. **The classical Toda lattice.** The classical Toda lattice was already considered in [1], using specific properties of this system. We use our general approach to show how one can recover those results and explain some of those formulas.

4.3.1. *Toda lattice in physical coordinates.* The Hamiltonian defining the Toda lattice is given in canonical coordinates (p_i, q_i) of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ by

$$h_2(q_1, \ldots, q_n, p_1, \ldots, p_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{q_i - q_{i+1}}. \tag{12}$$

For the integrability of the system we refer to the classical paper of Flaschka [9].

Let us recall the bi-Hamiltonian structure given in [5]. The first Poisson tensor in the hierarchy is the standard canonical symplectic tensor, which we denote by $\widetilde{\pi}_0$, so that

$$\{q_i, p_j\}_0 = \delta_{ij},$$

while the second Poisson tensor $\widetilde{\pi}_1$ is determined by the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\{q_i, q_j\}_1 &= -1, \qquad (i < j)\\
\{q_i, p_j\}_1 &= p_i \delta_{ij},\\
\{p_j, p_i\}_1 &= e^{q_i - q_{i+1}} \delta_{j,i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then setting $h_1 = p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n$, we obtain the bi-Hamiltonian formulation:

$$\widetilde{\pi}_0^\sharp \mathrm{d}h_2 = \widetilde{\pi}_1^\sharp \mathrm{d}h_1.$$

If we set, as usual,

$$N := \widetilde{\pi}_1^\sharp \circ (\widetilde{\pi}_0^\sharp)^{-1},$$

then a small computation gives the following multi-Hamiltonian formulation:

Proposition 17. *The Toda hierarchy admits the multi-Hamiltonian formulation:*

$$\widetilde{\pi}_j^\sharp \mathrm{d}h_2 = \widetilde{\pi}_{j+2}^\sharp \mathrm{d}h_0,$$

where $h_0 = \frac{1}{2}\log(\det N)$ *and* h_2 *is the original Hamiltonian (12).*

4.3.2. *Toda lattice in Flaschka coordinates.* Let us recall the Flaschka coordinates $(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ where:

$$\begin{aligned} b_i &= p_i, \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n) \\ a_i &= e^{q_i - q_{i+1}}, \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n-1) \end{aligned}$$

In these new coordinates there is no recursion operator anymore (this is a singular change of coordinates, where we loose one degree of freedom). Nevertheless, the multi-Hamiltonian structure does reduce ([5]). One can then compute the modular vector fields of the reduced Poisson tensors $\overline{\pi}_j$ relative to the standard volume form:

$$\mu = \mathrm{d}a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}a_{n-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}b_n.$$

It turns out that the modular vector fields X_μ^j are Hamiltonian vector fields with Hamiltonian function

$$h = \log(a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}) + j \log(\det(L)),$$

where L is the Lax matrix. This is observed in [1], where one also finds the multi-Hamiltonian formulation:

$$\overline{\pi}_j^\sharp \mathrm{d}h_{2-j} = \overline{\pi}_{j-1}^\sharp \mathrm{d}h_{3-j}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}$$

with $h_j = \frac{1}{j}\mathrm{Tr}\, L^j$ for $j \neq 0$ and $h_0 = \ln(\det(L))$.

We would like to give now an intrinsic explanation for these formulas, similar to the one given above for the Toda chain in physical coordinates.

4.3.3. *Toda lattice in extended Flaschka coordinates.* Let us extend the Flaschka coordinates by considering a variable a_n defined by:

$$a_n := q_n.$$

Then the transformation $(q_i, p_i) \mapsto (a_i, b_i)$ is a honest change of coordinates. In these extended Flaschka coordinates, the first Poisson tensor $\widetilde{\pi}_0$ is determined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_i, b_i\}_0 &= a_i, & (i = 1, \ldots, n-1) \\ \{a_i, b_{i+1}\}_0 &= -a_i, & (i = 1, \ldots, n-1) \\ \{a_n, b_n\}_0 &= 1. & \end{aligned}$$

while the second Poisson $\widetilde{\pi}_1$ structure is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{a_i, a_{i+1}\}_1 &= -a_i a_{i+1}, && (i = 1, \dots, n-1)\\
\{a_i, b_i\}_1 &= a_i b_i, && (i = 1, \dots, n-1)\\
\{a_n, b_n\}_1 &= b_n\\
\{a_i, b_{i+1}\}_1 &= -a_i b_{i+1}, && (i = 1, \dots, n-1)\\
\{b_i, b_{i+1}\}_1 &= -a_i, && (i = 1, \dots, n-1).
\end{aligned}$$

In these coordinates, we still have the Nijenhuis tensor, relating the various Poisson tensors in the hierarchy.

The submanifold $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ defined by $a_n = 0$ is a Poisson submanifold for all Poisson tensors in the hierarchy, so that the bi-Hamiltonian structure reduces to this submanifold, and yields the bi-Hamiltonian formulation for the Toda lattice in Flaschka coordinates. However, the tangent space to this submanifold is not left invariant by the Nijenhuis operator N, and on $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ we do not have an induced PN-structure.

Another way of expressing these facts is to observe that the involutive diffeomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ defined by:

$$\phi(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n) = (a_1, \dots, -a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n),$$

is a Poisson diffeomorphism for all Poisson structures. Hence, the group $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{I, \phi\}$ acts by Poisson diffeomorphisms on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, for all Poisson structures. It follows that its fix point set, which is just $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$, has induced Poisson brackets (see the Poisson Involution Theorem in [8, 19]), and these form the hierarchy in Flaschka coordinates.

4.3.4. *Toda lattice on a Lie algebroid.* We now consider the following bi-Hamiltonian formulation on a Lie algebroid.

We let $A = \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ be the trivial vector bundle with fiber $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. We denote by $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ a basis of global sections and we let $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be global coordinates on the base. Now we define a Lie algebroid structure by declaring that the bracket satisfies:

$$[e_i, e_j]_A = [f_i, f_j]_A = [e_i, f_j]_A = 0,$$

and that the anchor is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_A(e_i) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1) & \qquad \rho_A(e_n) = 0\\
\rho_A(f_i) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial b_i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $(A, [\ ,\ ]_A, \rho_A)$ is just the trivial extension of Lie algebroids:

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & L_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r] & T\mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

where $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the trivial line bundle over $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$.

Now on A we can define the following Poisson tensors:

$$\pi_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i e_i \wedge (f_i - f_{i+1}) + e_n \wedge f_n$$

$$\pi_1 = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i a_{i+1} e_i \wedge e_{i+1} - a_{n-1} e_{n-1} \wedge e_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i e_i \wedge (b_i f_i - b_{i+1} f_{i+1}) + b_n e_n \wedge f_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i f_i \wedge f_{i+1}.$$

These Poisson structures on A cover ordinary Poisson structures on the base $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$, which are just the Poisson structures $\overline{\pi}_0$ and $\overline{\pi}_1$ of the Toda lattice, in Flaschka coordinates.

Since π_0 is symplectic, the Poisson tensors on A are associated with a PN-algebroid structure. By our main theorem, they give rise to an integrable hierarchy on A

$$\pi_j^\sharp \mathrm{d}h_{2-j} = \pi_{j-1}^\sharp \mathrm{d}h_{3-j}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}$$

with $h_j = \frac{1}{j}\mathrm{Tr}\, N^j$ for $j \neq 0$ and $h_0 = \ln(\det(N))$, covering an integrable hierarchy on the base

$$\overline{\pi}_j^\sharp \mathrm{d}h_{2-j} = \overline{\pi}_{j-1}^\sharp \mathrm{d}h_{3-j}. \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}$$

In this hierarchy the Hamiltonians differ by a factor of 2 relative to the Hamiltonians in the multi-Hamiltonian formulation of the Toda lattice given by Proposition 17. Although the hierarchy in the Lie algebroid is generated by a Nijenhuis operator, it is well known that this is not the case with the Toda lattice in the base manifold.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by POCI/MAT/58452/2004 and CMUC/FCT.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Agrotis and P.A. Damianou, The modular hierarchy of the Toda lattice. *Diff. Geom Appl*, to appear.

[2] M. Bangoura and Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Equation de Yang-Baxter dynamique classique et algebroïdes de Lie. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **327** (1998), no. 8, 541–546.

[3] A. Cannas da Silva and A. Weinstein, *Geometric Models for Noncommutative Algebras*, Berkeley Mathematics Lectures, vol. **10**, American Math. Soc. , Providence, 1999.

[4] S. Evens, J.-H. Lu and A. Weinstein, Transverse measures, the modular class and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2)* **50** (1999), 417–436.

[5] R.L. Fernandes, On the mastersymmetries and bi-Hamiltonian structure of the Toda lattice. *J. Phys. A* **26** (1993), 3797–3803.

[6] R. L. Fernandes, Lie algebroids, holonomy and characteristic classes. *Adv. in Math.* **170** (2002), 119–179.

[7] R. L. Fernandes and P. Damianou, Integrable hierarchies and the modular class. Preprint *math.DG/0607784*.

[8] R.L. Fernandes and P. Vanhaecke, Hyperelliptic Prym Varieties and Integrable Systems. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **221** (2001) 169–196.

[9] H. Flaschka, The Toda lattice. I. Existence of integrals. *Phys. Rev. B (3)* **9** (1974), 1924–1925.

[10] J. Grabowski, G. Marmo and P. Michor, Homology and modular classes of Lie algebroids. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **56** (2006), 69–83.

[11] J. Grabowski, P. Urbanski, Lie algebroids and Poisson-Nijenhuis structures. *Reports on Mathematical Physics* **40** (1997), no. 2, 195–208.

[12] J. Grabowski, P. Urbanski, Tangent and cotangent lifts and graded Lie algebras associated with Lie algebroids. *Ann. Global Analysis and Geometry* **15** (1997), 447–486.

[13] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, The Lie bialgebroid of a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **38** (1996), no. 4, 421–428.

[14] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and F. Magri, On the modular classes of Poisson-Nijenhuis manifolds. Preprint *math.SG/0611202*.

[15] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and F. Magri, Poisson-Nijenhuis structures. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **53** (1990), 35–81.

[16] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and A. Weinstein, Relative modular classes of Lie algebroids. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **341** (2005), no. 8, 509–514.

[17] F. Magri, P. Casati, G. Falqui and M. Pedroni, Eight lectures on integrable systems. In: Integrability of Nonlinear Systems (Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach et al. eds.), *Lecture Notes in Physics* **495** (2nd edition) (2004), 209-250.

[18] A. Weinstein, The modular automorphism group of a Poisson manifold. *J. Geom. Phys.* **23** (1997), no. 3-4, 379–394.

[19] P. Xu, Dirac submanifolds and Poisson involutions, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **36** (2003), 403–430.

CMUC, Department of Mathematics, University of Coimbra
E-mail address: `raquel@mat.uc.pt`